# TENNESSEE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE



### **FISCAL NOTE**

HB 574 - SB 634

March 4, 2015

**SUMMARY OF BILL:** Declares that any real property owned by a local government entity, where the local government entity has executed a written agreement with private property owners for the purpose of such private property owners utilizing the property for agricultural use, continue to be utilized for agricultural purposes pursuant to any such agreement after the local government entity sells or transfers such property to another local government entity. Requires the local government entity selling any such property to provide notice to the affected private property owners 30 days prior to the sale or transfer. Requires the state agency be responsible for paying for any fencing, agricultural-related buildings, or watering required by the private property owners, when the private property owner is required to cease agricultural use of any real property that is sold or transferred to a state agency.

#### **ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:**

Other Fiscal Impact – Potential increased expenditures for state and local governments of unknown amounts in instances that cases evolve for the purpose of deciding proper land use. Potential forgone revenue for any local government entity or state agency that acquires property for the purpose of development, which is prevented because such property is being used for agricultural purposes pursuant to a binding agreement.

#### Assumptions:

- The fiscal impact of this bill is dependent upon multiple unknown factors, including but not limited to, the number of existing written agreements between local governments and private property owners, the extent to which any agreements will occur in the future under current law, the extent to which any agreements will occur in the future under the provisions of this bill, the terms of any such agreements, the extent to which any applicable property would be sold to another local government entity, the extent to which any applicable property would be sold to a state agency, and the extent to which a state agency would be required to pay for any fencing, agricultural-related buildings, or watering costs required by the private property owners.
- Given the extent of unknown factors, determining a precise impact to state and local
  government is not possible. However, there could be increased expenditures to state and
  local government if cases evolve to decide proper land use. There could significant
  forgone revenue to any local government entity or state agency acquiring such property,
  if the purpose for acquiring the property was development, and the provisions of this bill

- either prevented the development from occurring, or delayed the development from occurring sooner.
- Any increase in local expenditures to provide the required 30-day notice is estimated to be not significant.

## **CERTIFICATION:**

The information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Jeffrey L. Spalding, Executive Director

/maf